

<https://helda.helsinki.fi>

Introduction and Dispersal of Sindbis Virus from Central Africa to Europe

Ling, Jiaxin

2019-08

Ling , J , Smura , T , Lundström , J O , Pettersson , J H-O , Sironen , T , Vapalahti , O , Lundkvist , Å & Hesson , J C 2019 , ' Introduction and Dispersal of Sindbis Virus from Central Africa to Europe ' , Journal of Virology , vol. 93 , no. 16 , e00620-19 . <https://doi.org/10.1128/JVI.00620-19>

<http://hdl.handle.net/10138/305760>

<https://doi.org/10.1128/JVI.00620-19>

cc_by

publishedVersion

Downloaded from Helda, University of Helsinki institutional repository.

This is an electronic reprint of the original article.

This reprint may differ from the original in pagination and typographic detail.

Please cite the original version.



Introduction and Dispersal of Sindbis Virus from Central Africa to Europe

Jiaxin Ling,^a Teemu Smura,^b Jan O. Lundström,^{a,c} John H.-O. Pettersson,^{a,d,e,f} Tarja Sironen,^{b,g} Olli Vapalahti,^{b,g} Åke Lundkvist,^a Jenny C. Hesson^a

^aZoonosis Science Center, Department of Medical Biochemistry and Microbiology, Uppsala University, Uppsala, Sweden

^bDepartment of Virology, Medicum, University of Helsinki and Helsinki University, Helsinki, Finland

^cBiologisk Myggkontroll, Nedre Dalälven Utvecklings AB, Uppsala, Sweden

^dMarie Bashir Institute for Infectious Diseases and Biosecurity, Charles Perkins Centre, School of Life and Environmental Sciences, University of Sydney, Sydney, New South Wales, Australia

^eSydney Medical School, University of Sydney, Sydney, New South Wales, Australia

^fPublic Health Agency of Sweden, Solna, Sweden

^gDepartment of Veterinary Biosciences, University of Helsinki, Helsinki, Finland

ABSTRACT Bird-hosted viruses have the potential to be transported over large areas of the world and to be transmitted in distant geographical regions. Sindbis virus (SINV) is a mosquito-borne alphavirus that is locally amplified in a bird-mosquito enzootic cycle and distributed all over the Old World and Australia/Oceania. Sindbis virus genotype I (SINV-I) is the cause of disease outbreaks in humans in South Africa as well as in northern Europe. To trace the evolutionary history and potential strain-disease association of SINV-I, we sequenced 36 complete genomes isolated from field material in Europe, as well as in Africa and the Middle East, collected over 58 years. These were analyzed together with 30 additional published whole SINV-I genomes using Bayesian analysis. Our results suggested that SINV-I was introduced only once to northern Europe from central Africa, in the 1920s. After its first introduction to Sweden, it spread east and southward on two separate occasions in the 1960s and 1970s. Another introduction from central Africa to southern/central Europe seems to have occurred, and where these two introductions meet, one recombination event was detected in central Europe. In addition, another recombinant strain was found in central Africa, where the most divergent SINV-I strains also originated.

IMPORTANCE This study shows that only a single introduction of SINV into a new geographical area is required for spread and establishment, provided that the requisite vector(s) and reservoir(s) of epizootological and epidemiological importance are present. Furthermore, we present the first report of recombination between two strains of SINV in nature. Our study increases the knowledge on new introductions and dispersal of arboviruses in general and of SINV in particular.

KEYWORDS evolution, phylogeny, Sindbis virus

Viruses hosted by birds have the potential to be transported over large areas of the world, connecting countries and regions that are well separated in climate and habitat. The occurrence of human disease from vector-borne bird viruses is dependent on the availability and abundance of competent vectors in proximity to the bird hosts and humans in different regions. Thus, these viruses need to be able to replicate in various vector and host species, as the geographic distribution often spans tropical as well as subtropical or temperate regions, with unique sets of mosquito and bird species. Mosquito-borne bird viruses include a number of alphaviruses (*Togaviridae*), e.g.,

Citation Ling J, Smura T, Lundström JO, Pettersson JH-O, Sironen T, Vapalahti O, Lundkvist Å, Hesson JC. 2019. Introduction and dispersal of Sindbis virus from central Africa to Europe.

J Virol 93:e00620-19. <https://doi.org/10.1128/JVI.00620-19>.

Editor Mark T. Heise, University of North Carolina at Chapel Hill

Copyright © 2019 Ling et al. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the [Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International license](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/).

Address correspondence to Jiaxin Ling, jiaxin.ling@imbim.uu.se.

Received 15 April 2019

Accepted 15 May 2019

Accepted manuscript posted online 29 May 2019

Published 30 July 2019

Sindbis virus (SINV) and Western equine encephalitis virus (WEEV), and flaviviruses (*Flaviviridae*), e.g., West Nile virus (WNV), Japanese encephalitis virus (JEV), Murray Valley encephalitis virus (MVEV), St. Louis encephalitis virus (SLEV), Rocio virus (ROCV), and Usutu virus (USUV) (1–5). In addition to their dependence on avian hosts, all these viruses also utilize vectors from the same genus of mosquitoes, *Culex*. This genus has species representatives throughout the world; thus, it has the potential to provide suitable vector species in both tropical and temperate regions (2, 6–8). Human cases emerge from an infectious mosquito bite and are considered an effect of spillover from a viremic bird population. The viremia produced in humans is not enough to infect a mosquito, and consequently, humans are dead-end hosts of the virus (2).

SINV is a positive single-stranded RNA virus that has a wide distribution throughout the Old World. It has a genome size of about 11.7 kb and has two open reading frames (ORFs) that encode four nonstructural (nsP1 to -4) and five structural (C, E3, E2, 6K/TF, and E1) proteins, respectively (9). Based on phylogenetic analyses of the partial E2 gene, a total of six genotypes (genotypes I to VI) have been identified (10). SINV genotype I (SINV-I) has been isolated from Europe, Africa, and the Middle East; SINV-II and SINV-VI have been isolated from Australia; SINV-III has been isolated from Southeast Asia; SINV-IV has been isolated from Asia and the Middle East; and SINV-V (also referred to as Whataroa virus) has been isolated from New Zealand (10).

SINV-I is the only genotype that has been associated with outbreaks of human disease, and it was first isolated from mosquitoes in Cairo, Egypt, in 1952 (11). Outbreaks have been reported from South Africa and northern Europe, and the disease goes under several names: Pogosta, Ockelbo disease, Karelian fever, and Sindbis fever. Signs and symptoms include fever, exanthema, arthralgia, myalgia, and cases of arthritis that can remain for years (12, 13).

The first cases of Sindbis fever in Fennoscandia were reported from Sweden in the 1960s, and later, this disease was shown to be associated with a strain of SINV-I isolated from mosquitoes in Edsbyn, Sweden (14). Cases of Sindbis fever are sporadically diagnosed in central Sweden (15), and in recent years, there has also been an outbreak of Sindbis fever in northern Sweden (16). Several larger outbreaks have been observed in Finland and in South Africa (17, 18). However, as mentioned above, SINV-I has also been isolated from other parts of Africa, Europe, and the Middle East, without any reports of disease outbreaks. Previous studies indicated that SINV-I has been transported with northward-migrating birds, connecting South Africa and Fennoscandia (10). The driving forces behind SINV-I outbreaks and the details of SINV-I movements between continents and regions are still unknown.

To further elucidate the evolutionary history of SINV-I and its dispersal patterns, we have sequenced and analyzed the complete genomes of 36 new strains, in addition to 30 previously sequenced publicly available strains, from various vector and host species, spanning 10 countries and 58 years.

RESULTS

Evolutionary history of SINV-I. The phylogenetic tree of the complete coding sequence of SINV-I contained 64 strains (2 strains were excluded due to recombination [see below]) and showed that the strains from central Africa were basal in each clade. According to the parsimony principle (i.e., that the best hypothesis is the one that requires the fewest evolutionary changes), this indicates that SINV-I originated in central Africa and diverged into two main clades with well-supported values (posterior probability, 1.0) (Fig. 1). Clade A contained all the strains from Sweden, Finland, Norway, Germany, and Russia and shared a common ancestor with strains from clade B, which included strains from central African countries (i.e., Central African Republic, Cameroon, and Kenya). Clades A and B shared a common ancestor with the strains from South Africa (clade C). The other main clade (clade D) contained the prototype SINV-I strain from Egypt (1952), which clustered with sequences from other countries in the Middle East and adjacent regions (i.e., Egypt, Israel, Saudi Arabia, and Azerbaijan), southern/central Europe (Slovak Republic and Italy), and east Africa (Kenya). Clade D shared a




FIG 1 Spread of SINVI from Africa to Europe. Shown is a Bayesian phylogenetic tree of SINVI based on concatenated nucleotide ORF sequences (MrBayes). The colors indicate Sweden (green); Finland (blue); Germany (red); Egypt, Israel, and Saudi Arabia (pink); Kenya and the Central African Republic (orange); Slovak Republic (light blue); Italy (gray); and South Africa (purple). The roots in orange indicate that SINVI originated in central Africa. Main SINVI dispersal routes from Africa to and within northern/central Europe are indicated with dashed lines. L, N, E, and S represent locations in Sweden (Lövånger, Nedre Dalälven, Edsbyn, and Sundsvall, respectively). Posterior probability values above 0.95 are shown for the branches. Dots indicate the sequences generated from this study.

most recent common ancestor with clade E, which included strains from central Africa (Uganda) and South Africa. However, these two clades (clades D and E) contained only 15 sequences, including some from unconventional hosts, and therefore, a more detailed analysis of this group could not be made.

The most parsimonious interpretation is that SINVI strains circulating in central and northern Europe were introduced from central Africa rather than from South Africa, as previously hypothesized (10). Analysis of clade A, which contains most European strains, shows that the basal position was held by a strain from Nedre Dalälven, Sweden (09_M_358; GenBank accession number [MK045245](#)), suggesting that SINVI was first introduced from central Africa to Sweden, with subsequent circulation there, and then further dispersed from Sweden to other parts of northern, eastern, and central Europe (Fig. 1). This was further confirmed by the phylogenetic tree based on amino acid sequences of SINVI, which had the same topology (Fig. 2).

Evolutionary rates. A TempEst analysis showed that the whole data set (64 sequences) did not have any temporal structure (correlation coefficient, -0.0904). However, the data set including sequences from clades A and B showed a temporal signal (43 sequences; correlation coefficient, 0.5128) and was subsequently used to estimate the evolutionary rate of clades A and B. Following model testing, the evolutionary history of clades A and B of SINVI was reconstructed with a strict molecular clock mode and a coalescent exponential population demographic model. The evolutionary rate for this data set is 5.45×10^{-5} substitutions (95% highest posterior density [HPD], 4.40×10^{-5} to 6.56×10^{-5}) (Table 1). The time to the most recent common ancestor (tMRCA) estimated that clade A dated back to 93 years ago (95% HPD, 76 to 112 years), indicating that SINVI was introduced into Sweden in the 1920s. This was then followed by two separate introductions of SINVI from Sweden into Finland and Germany around the 1960s and the 1970s, respectively, which corresponds to epidemiological data on SINVI infection in northern Europe (Fig. 3). Notably, we also estimate that clade A and clade B diverged from their African ancestors more than 300 years ago (95% HPD, 1605 to 1743) and perhaps longer if the data have been impacted by strong purifying selection (19). Hence, prior to the emergence of SINVI in northern Europe, there were more than 300 years of viral diversity and spread that are still unaccounted for.

Phylogeny of the partial E2 gene. We also performed the same analysis on 163 sequences of the partial E2 alignment (Fig. 4), and the results showed that it could not discriminate any additional within-clade geographical structure compared to the analysis of the complete ORFs. Northern/eastern SINVI strains also clustered together in the partial E2 phylogeny. However, it failed to detect the origin of this clade, as both the central African and South African clades were mixed together, and the overall posterior probability support was low.

Genetic diversity of SINVI. The SINVI genome was highly conserved, with an average nucleotide similarity of 96.5% (range, 87.9% to 100%). The similarity of the nonstructural protein genes nsP1 (97.4%; range, 91.8% to 100%), nsP2 (96.3%; range, 85.8% to 100%), nsP3 (95.4%; range, 84.4% to 100%), and nsP4 (96.4%; range, 88% to 100%) showed similar levels compared to the structural protein genes capsid (96.7%; range, 88.7% to 100%), E3 (96.7%; range, 86.6% to 100%), E2 (96.2%; range, 87.6% to 99.9%), 6K/TF (96.8%; range, 88.1% to 100%), and E1 (97.5%; range, 89.1% to 100%), with an average nucleotide similarity ranging from 95.4% (nsP3) to 97.5% (E1).

A comparison of nucleotide and amino acid differences showed that the highest degree of observed divergence was found in clade D (Table 1). Within clades A, B, and C, where most strains from regions with reported outbreaks were located, no specific differences could be observed that clearly separated strains isolated from human patients from other strains (Fig. 5). Thus, direct disease association could not be accounted for by any unique amino acid substitution.

Recombination within SINVI. Two recombination events in the alignment of the complete ORFs were found, showing that the strains NVS_305_Culex_vansomereni_Kenya_2007 (GenBank accession number [KY616988](#)) and H7_Culex_pipiens_Germany_2013 (GenBank accession number [MG779534](#)) have undergone recombination (Fig. 6). Interestingly, the phylogenies of nsP4 and E1 for NVS_305_Culex_vansomereni_Kenya_2007 were incongruous with those of other genes. Based on nsP4 and E1, this




FIG 2 Bayesian phylogenetic tree of SINV-I based on the complete open reading frame (ORF) amino acid sequences resulting from MrBayes. Dots indicate the sequences generated from this study. Posterior probability values above 0.8 are shown for the branches.

strain clustered in clade A together with the strains from northern Europe, whereas based on the other genes, NVS_305_Culex_vansomereni_Kenya_2007 is a member of clade E. For H7_Culex_pipiens_Germany_2013, incongruences of phylogenies were largely based on structural versus nonstructural protein-coding genes. This strain

TABLE 1 Identities of nucleotide and amino acid sequences of SINV-I strains within different clades

Identity category ^a	% identity									
	CDS	nsP1	nsP2	nsP3	nsP4	CP	E3	E2	6K	E1
Total (nt)	87.9–100	91.8–100	85.8–100	84.4–100	88–100	88.7–100	86.6–100	87.6–99.9	88.1–100	89.1–100
Total (aa)	96.3–100	97.1–100	96.9–100	91.1–100	97.5–100	92.2–100	94.5–100	95.3–100	94.2–100	96.2–100
Within clade A (nt)	99.1–100	99.2–100	98.9–100	99–100	98.9–100	96.8–100	98.8–100	99–99.9	97.5–100	99–100
Within clade A (aa)	99.1–100	98.9–100	99.3–100	89.3–100	99.3–100	94.4–100	98.2–100	99–100	96.2–98.1	99.3–100
Within clade B (nt)	99.1–99.9	99.1–99.8	99.1–99.9	99.3–99.9	99.1–100	98.8–100	99.4–100	99–99.8	98.8–100	99.1–99.9
Within clade B (aa)	99.7–100	99.3–99.8	99.8–100	99.6–100	99.7–100	99.2–100	100	99.8–100	100	99.1–100
Within clade C (nt)	98.3–99.8	98.6–99.9	98.1–99.6	97.6–99.6	98.7–99.7	98.7–100	97.6–100	98.3–99.8	95.4–100	98.4–100
Within clade C (aa)	98.9–99.6	98.5–100	98.5–99.6	97.6–99.5	98.9–100	99.2–100	96.4–100	98.8–100	96.2–100	98.6–100
Within clade D (nt)	87.9–100	96.1–100	94.3–100	95.7–100	96.1–100	95.7–100	97–100	94.9–100	93.2–100	97–100
Within clade D (aa)	96.3–100	97.9–100	97.7–100	96.6–100	98–100	97.7–100	100	97.3–100	98.1–100	98.6–100
Within clade E (nt)	99.2–99.6	99.4–99.7	98.9–99.8	98.5–99.3	99.3–99.4	99.1–99.7	98.8–99.4	99.3–99.8	93.1–98.8	99.3–100
Within clade E (aa)	99.6–99.9	99.8–100	99.8–100	99–99.6	99.8–100	99.2–100	100	99.8–100	94.2–98.1	94.8–100

^ant, nucleotides; aa, amino acids.

clustered in clade D on the basis of nonstructural genes and the (structural) E1 gene, whereas the other structural genes (C, E3, E2, and 6K/TF) of this strain were found to be more related to the strains from clade A. This recombinant strain indicates a second introduction of SINV-I to Germany, arriving from the south, which recombined with the strain introduced from the north. The potential breakpoints for these two strains were also confirmed by several methods in RDP4 (Table 2 and Fig. 7).

DISCUSSION

In this study, we have investigated the evolutionary history and dispersal pattern of SINV-I, by phylogenetic analyses of 66 full-genome sequences isolated from regions all throughout its geographical range. The current long-standing hypothesis has been that SINV-I was introduced to northern Europe from South Africa by migratory birds (20–22). This has also been supported by reports of a similar disease occurring in these two regions (12, 18). However, our analyses suggest that the most likely origin of all strains isolated in northern Europe is a single introduction of SINV-I into Sweden from central Africa rather than from South Africa. The specific region from which the virus was exported is, however, uncertain, due to the few and geographically limited isolates of SINV available from Africa. In addition, our results indicate that SINV-I strains were further exported from Sweden to Finland, Russia, and Germany, presenting an eastward and southward dispersal, in contrast to the more commonly proposed northward dispersal of pathogens (23).

The intercontinental dispersals of several bird-hosted viruses, such as WNV and USUV, have been linked to the northward movement of migratory birds (1, 24). In the present study, there is no indication of multiple introductions of SINV-I to northern Europe; thus, northward transport with migratory birds is likely to be a very rare event. This supports conclusions from previous studies on SINV-I phylogeny and the low SINV-I antibody prevalence in northward-migrating birds (20, 25). However, the composition of clade D, containing Middle Eastern and southern/central European strains, implied that southern/central Europe might have had three introductions of SINV-I, two from northern Europe and one from central Africa.

The southward dispersal of SINV-I could be explained by the autumn migration of the main amplifying host species. The enzootic circulation of SINV-I occurs mainly in August, with thrushes of the genus *Turdus* as the main amplifying host of SINV-I in Sweden (15, 21). These *Turdus* species breed in Sweden and migrate southward in August to October to spend the winter in central and southwestern Europe (26). The SINV-neutralizing antibody prevalence in redwing (*Turdus iliacus*), songthrush (*Turdus philomelos*), and fieldfare (*Turdus pilaris*) sometimes exceeds 70% in Sweden (27), which indicates that a substantial number of migrating thrushes are likely to include viremic individuals dispersing SINV-I to local mosquito populations on their way south.




FIG 3 Dated phylogenetic tree of clades A and B. Posterior probability values above 0.95 are shown in the nodes. The values on the branches indicate years before 2016 and 95% highest posterior density (HPD) values.



FIG 4 Bayesian phylogenetic tree of SINV-I based on partial E2 sequences. Posterior probability values above 0.8 are shown for the branches.




FIG 5 Comparison of amino acid variations across the SINVI genome among the strains in clades A, B, and C. The colors of the strains indicate Sweden (green), Finland (blue), Germany (red), Kenya and the Central African Republic (orange), and South Africa (purple).

The first report of Sindbis fever in humans in Fennoscandia was from Sweden in 1967 (28). However, prior to this report, there were already signs indicating the existence of SINVI in Europe: specific anti-SINVI antibodies were found in human sera in northern Italy and Finland already in 1965 (29, 30). Antibody screenings of 5,000 human serum samples in Finland in 1958 to 1964 and in Austria before 1963 (31), however, reported that no antibodies were present. Our dating analysis showed that SINVI was introduced into Sweden in the 1920s and spread east and southward on two separate occasions to Finland and Germany in the 1960s and 1970s. This is well in agreement with epidemiological data from Finland but also indicates that SINVI was circulating undetected in Sweden for many decades before it was reported to cause disease. After the confirmation of the first SINVI human case in Sweden, sporadic cases as well as recurrent outbreaks have been reported annually in Sweden (32) and Finland (33, 34), as have occasional outbreaks of hundreds or even thousands of human cases. In Finland, SINVI has been a notifiable disease since 1995, and numbers of reported cases are 10-fold higher than in Sweden, where the awareness of Sindbis fever is considerably lower. Further investigations are needed to clarify if this difference is due to underreporting in Sweden or if it reflects real differences in Sindbis fever occurrence. In both countries, however, the introduction of SINVI was a rare one-time event, and the virus successfully managed to become established in an enzootic cycle locally, with occasional cases of spillover to humans.

Previous studies have used the E2 gene as a proxy for genotyping SINVI strains (10). This study confirms that it is a good marker for genotyping; however, it has a limited ability to resolve detailed dispersal patterns. Reconstructing the phylogenies based on separate genes also did not improve the resolution of the phylogeny, despite many more sequences being used in the partial E2 phylogeny. Thus, the best-resolved phylogeny is by using concatenated ORF sequences.

We detected two strains (NVS_305_Culex vansomereri_Kenya_2007 and H7_Culex pipiens_Germany_2013) that most likely underwent a recombination event. This is, to our knowledge, the first time that a recombination event has been detected for SINVI

CDS

NSP1




FIG 6 Bayesian phylogenetic trees based on the complete ORFs and the separated genes (nsP1 to -4, C, E3, E2, 6K/TF, and E1) of fully sequenced SINV-I genomes. Sequences that have undergone recombination are highlighted in red. CDS, coding sequence.

in nature. A previous recombination event between a Sindbis-like virus and Eastern equine encephalomyelitis virus (EEEV) in South America has had a large impact on the alphavirus genus, giving rise to WEEV, Highlands J virus, and Fort Morgan virus (35, 36). The ancestral recombinant obtained E1 and E2 proteins from the Sindbis-like virus and the remaining genes from EEEV (35). The three recombinants, and their variants (e.g., Buggy Creek virus, a variant of Fort Morgan virus), are all vector-borne viruses that infect birds in the New World, but only WEEV has a clear association with human disease.

SINV-I, as for all alphaviruses, evolves relatively slowly (5), which is indicated by the comparatively low genetic diversity observed within the clades and by the finding that model selection favored the constant clock model in this study. Our results showed few

NSP2

NSP3




FIG 6 (Continued)

genetic differences within SINVI, especially within the clade of northern European strains (99.1 to 100% overall similarity). The E2 gene encodes a glycoprotein that is associated with the pathogenicity of SINVI infections (37, 38). Single substitutions can cause considerable differences in arbovirus vector specificity and pathogenicity, as shown for chikungunya virus (CHIKV) and Zika virus (39, 40). However, we could not detect any clear nucleotide, or any amino acid, differences between SINVI strains from regions with disease and those without disease (Fig. 5). The reasons for the lack of

NSP4


C



FIG 6 (Continued)

reported disease cases can be many. In central Africa, several severe diseases are endemic, and underdetection and underreporting would be highly likely. An alternative explanation could be that various ecological factors have an impact on the efficiency of disease transmission, such as a lack of competent and abundant vectors. When considering the lack of human cases in central European countries, this might be a plausible explanation, as studies on human antibodies against SINV-I showed a very low prevalence (41). Studies on antibody prevalence in regions of endemicity present 3% in Sweden and 9% in Finland (25, 32, 42). Thus, humans in Germany do not seem to

E3



E2




FIG 6 (Continued)

become infected with SINVI as often as humans do in areas of endemicity of Fennoscandia. Ecological studies have shown that the abundance of the most competent vector of SINVI, *Culex torrentium*, is decreasing southward in Europe (43, 44). One could speculate that there is a critical abundance of *Cx. torrentium* that needs to be reached for an efficient-enough transmission of SINVI in the bird population to allow spillover infections of humans. Likewise, the abundance of the bridge vector *Aedes cinereus* is likely of importance for the transmission of virus from birds to humans (3). Other



FIG 6 (Continued)

ecological factors, such as variations in host choice by the vector and mosquito longevity, could also contribute to explain the differences in transmission. In summary, the geographical limitation of SINV fever outbreaks needs to be further investigated in studies on the pathogenicity of the different strains as well as in ecological studies on the vector and host populations in different regions.

In conclusion, our results suggest that SINV-I was successfully introduced only once into northern Europe from central Africa, probably via migratory birds. This

TABLE 2 Recombination analysis for strains H7_Culex pipiens Germany_2013 and NVS_305_Culex vansomereni_Kenya_2007^a

Recombination strain	Event	Minor parental sequence (breakpoint positions [bp])	Major parental sequence	P value determined by detection method									
				RDP	GENECONV	Bootscan	Maxchi	Chimera	SIscan	Phylpro	LARD	3Seq	
H7_Culex pipiens_Germany_2013	1	15Z03121_Culex torrentium_Germany_2015 (6897–10655)	GREIS_Hyalomma_marginatum_Italy_1975	1.07E–78	2.76E–70	2.46E–76	1.35E–33	8.76E–34	4.41E–39	NS	NS	3.43E–12	
		E594_Aedes_rossicus_Sweden_2002	SK2_SM7V1_Cricetus_cricetus_SlovakRepublic_1972										
		84M140_Culi_morsitans_Sweden_1984	J02363_Egypt_1952										
		83M108_Culex pipiens torrentium_Sweden_1983	AZ_16_Nycticorax_nycticorax_Russia_1977										
		15Z03121_Culex torrentium_Germany_2015 (1512–1930)	AZ_16_Nycticorax_nycticorax_Russia_1977	1.35E–23	2.90E–22	6.01E–20	1.86E–05	1.83E–05	4.61E–05	NS	NS	3.43E–12	
NVS_305_Culex_vansomereni_Kenya_2007	1	E594_Aedes_rossicus_Sweden_2002	SK2_SM7V1_Cricetus_cricetus_SlovakRepublic_a_1972										
		84M140_Culi_morsitans_Sweden_1984	GREIS_Hyalomma_marginatum_Italy_1975										
		Kihitelyvaara2002_Human_Finland_2002 (5258–7890)	MP684_Mansonia_fuscopennata_Uganda_1960	7.77E–06	2.56E–06	0.001478066	1.63E–07	0.034855155	1.21E–10	NS	NS	8.71E–09	
		E594_Aedes_rossicus_Sweden_2002	SAAR_18141_Cule.univittatus_South_Africa_1976										
		84M140_Culi_morsitans_Sweden_1984	Culex.univittatus_South_Africa_1974										
	2	J0771793_Illomantsi2005M_mosquito_Finland_2005 (8274–596)	SAAR_18141_Cule.univittatus_South_Africa_1976	4.45E–06	5.18E–05	0.000194763	2.12E–06	2.27E–06	3.71E–06	NS	NS	0.010431756	
		E594_Aedes_rossicus_Sweden_2002	Culex.univittatus_South_Africa_1974										
		84M140_Culi_morsitans_Sweden_1984	MP684_Mansonia_fuscopennata_Uganda_1960										

^aThe minor parent is the parent contributing the smaller fraction of sequence. The major parent is the parent contributing the larger fraction of sequence. Breakpoint positions (in base pairs) are relative to E594_Aedes_rossicus_Sweden_2002. NS indicates that no significant P value was recorded for this recombination event using this method.




FIG 7 Recombination scheme for strains H7_Culex_pipiens_Germany_2013 and NVS_305_Culex_vansomereni_Kenya_2007. The recombination events were checked by using RDP in RDP3, and the window size (the number of polymorphic sites per window) was set to 30.

introduction led to the establishment of endemic SINV circulation in Sweden, and from there, SINV-I spread to other parts of northern, eastern, and central Europe. The emergence of reported human cases is potentially due to synergistic effects, including awareness of the disease in the community, ecological circumstances, and undiscovered host and viral genetic factors.

TABLE 3 Sindbis virus genotype I strains included in this study

Isolate	Yr of isolation	Location(s) (region) ^a	Isolation source ^b	E2 GenBank accession no.	GenBank accession no.	Reference(s)
E597	2002	Sweden (N)	<i>Aedes rossicus</i>		MK045224	This study
E594	2002	Sweden (N)	<i>A. rossicus</i>		MK045225	This study
84M140	1984	Sweden (E)	<i>Culiseta morsitans</i>		MK045226	This study
83M108	1983	Sweden (E)	<i>Culex pipiens/Cx. torrentium</i>		MK045227	This study
83M107	1983	Sweden (E)	<i>Cs. morsitans</i>		MK045228	This study
Vmork1/92	1983	Norway	<i>Aedes</i> spp.	U62467	MK045229	This study, 22
86828	1985	Sweden (E)	<i>Cs. morsitans</i>		MK045230	This study
95M116	1995	Sweden (N)	<i>A. cinereus</i>	FJ744539	MK045231	This study, 10
86752	1985	Sweden (S)	<i>Cx. pipiens/Cx. torrentium</i>		MK045232	This study
85M78	1985	Sweden (E)	<i>A. cinereus</i>	U62460	MK045233	This study, 22
85M94	1985	Sweden (E)	<i>Cx. pipiens/Cx. torrentium</i>	U62469	MK045234	This study, 22
E917	2002	Sweden (N)	<i>Cx. pipiens/Cx. torrentium</i>		MK045235	This study
85M68	1985	Sweden (E)	<i>Cs. morsitans</i>	U62452	MK045236	This study, 22
86520P3	1985	Sweden (S)	<i>Cx. pipiens/Cx. torrentium</i>		MK045237	This study
E945	2002	Sweden (N)	<i>A. rossicus</i>		MK045238	This study
09_M_991	2009	Sweden (N)	<i>Cx. torrentium</i>	KF297653	MK045239	This study, 45
F231	2003	Sweden (N)	<i>A. cinereus</i>		MK045240	This study
09_M_519	2009	Sweden (N)	<i>Cx. pipiens</i>	KF297652	MK045241	This study, 45
09_M_564	2009	Sweden (N)	<i>Cx. torrentium</i>	KF297646	MK045242	This study, 45
09_M_526	2009	Sweden (N)	<i>Cx. torrentium</i>	KF297644	MK045243	This study, 45
85M134	1985	Sweden (E)	<i>Cx. pipiens/Cx. torrentium</i>	U62444	MK045244	This study
09_M_358	2009	Sweden (N)	<i>Cx. torrentium</i>	KF297651	MK045245	This study, 45
SAAR_18141	1976	South Africa	<i>Culex univittatus</i>	U62471	MK045246	This study, 22
AR18132	1974	South Africa	<i>Cx. univittatus</i>	U62463	MK045247	This study, 22
MP684	1960	Uganda	<i>Mansonia fuscipennis</i>	U62480	MK045248	This study, 22
4251SM6	1969	Cameroon	Mosquito	AF339477	MK045249	This study; R. M. Kinney and M. Pfeffer, unpublished data
SAAR_6071	1964	South Africa	<i>Cx. univittatus</i>	U62479	MK045250	This study, 22
SK2_SM7V1	1972	Slovakia	<i>Cricetus cricetus</i> (M)	U62458	MK045251	This study, 22
AZ_16	1977	Russia, Azerbaijan	<i>Nycticorax nycticorax</i> (B)	U62464	MK045252	This study, 22
GREIS	1975	Italy	<i>Hyalomma marginatum</i> (T)	U62456	MK045253	This study, 22
M1855	1967	Israel	<i>Cx. pipiens molestus</i>	U62473	MK045254	This study, 22
R2_SM7V1	1975	Slovakia	<i>Rana ridibunda</i> (A)	U62459	MK045255	This study, 22
1038	1964	Israel	<i>Streptopelia turtur</i> (B)	U62443	MK045256	This study, 22
SA80_480	1980	Saudi Arabia	<i>Cx. univittatus</i>	FJ744536	MK045257	This study, 10
SA80_394	1980	Saudi Arabia	<i>Cx. univittatus</i>	FJ744535	MK045258	This study, 10
SA80_370	1980	Saudi Arabia	<i>Cx. univittatus</i>	FJ744534	MK045259	This study, 10
BNI_10865	2016	Germany	<i>Cx. pipiens</i>		MF589985	6
15Z03121	2015	Germany	<i>Cx. torrentium</i>		MG779533	6
LEIV_9298	1983	Russia	Mosquito		JQ771799	17
Kiitelysvara2002	2002	Finland	<i>Homo sapiens</i>		JQ771798	17
Johannes2002	2002	Finland	<i>Homo sapiens</i>		JQ771797	17
Edsbyn	1982	Sweden	Mosquito		M69205	58
Ilomantsi2002B	2002	Finland	<i>Homo sapiens</i>		JQ771795	17
Ilomantsi2002A	2002	Finland	<i>Homo sapiens</i>		JQ771794	17
28_9	2009	Germany	<i>Cx. torrentium</i>		GU361118	41
5_3	2009	Germany	<i>Anopheles maculipennis</i>		GU361116	41
Ilomantsi2002C	2002	Finland	<i>Homo sapiens</i>		JQ771796	17
Lovanger	2013	Sweden (L)	<i>Cs. morsitans</i>		KF737350	16
Z158523	2013	Germany	<i>Cx. pipiens</i>		MG779535	6
Berlin2010A	2010	Germany	<i>Corvus corone cornix</i> (B)		JX570540	59
Ilomantsi2005M	2005	Finland	Mosquito		JQ771793	17
NVS_305	2007	Kenya	<i>Culex vansomeri</i>		KY616988	60
ArB7761	1977	Central African Republic	<i>Cx. cinereus</i>		MH212167	46
HB741570	1975	Central African Republic	<i>Homo sapiens</i>		MF409178	V. Tricou, E. Nakoune, B. Selekon, M. Kazanji, and N. Berthet, unpublished data
Babanki	1969	Cameroon	Mosquito		HM147984	47
KSM_1008	2007	Kenya	<i>Culex</i> spp.		KY616986	60
BUD_12001	2010	Kenya	<i>Cx. univittatus</i>		KY616984	60
Girdwood_2017	1962	South Africa	<i>Homo sapiens</i> ^c		MF459683	61
Girdwood_SA	1962	South Africa	<i>Homo sapiens</i> ^c		U38304	62
YN87448	1998	China	Unknown		AF103734	G. L. Zhou, G. D. Liang, L. Li, S. H. Fu, Q. Jin, H. L. Zhang, W. L. Huang, and Y. D. Hou, unpublished data
Prototype AR399	1952	Egypt	<i>Cx. pipiens/Cx. univittatus</i>		J02363	63
S_A_AR86	1954	South Africa	<i>Culex</i> spp.		U38305	62
H7	2013	Germany	<i>Cx. pipiens</i>		MG779534	6
BONI_584	2013	Kenya	<i>Aedes tricholabis</i>		KY616985	60
BONI_566	2013	Kenya	<i>A. ochraceus</i>		KY616987	60
ArB489	1985	Central African Republic	Arthropod		MF409177	

^aLetters in parentheses indicate regions within Sweden shown in Fig. 1 (N, Nedre Dalälven; E, Edsbyn; S, Sundsvall; L, Lövånger).^bLetters in parentheses indicate the isolation source M, mammal; B, bird; T, tick; A, amphibian.^cThis strain was isolated from a human in 1962 and sequenced twice.

TABLE 4 Primers used in this study

Oligonucleotide	Sequence	Positions ^a	Length of the product (bp)
SINV F1	GAATCRAACAGCCGACCAAT	25–44	641
SINV R1	GTCGGCCAGTTAGTGTTGT	646–665	
SINV F2	ACTGGATTGGCTTTGACACC	583–602	485
SINV R2	CGGGATATACGTGCACACAG	1048–1067	
SINV F3	CAAACAATAGCGAGGGCTTC	979–998	793
SINV R3	GAGGTTGGTGAAACGACGAT	1752–1771	
SINV F4	TGGCAGACAAAGACATCGAG	1606–1625	681
SINV R4	GTGCCGTGACAGTTGACTTG	2267–2286	
SINV F5	GCGATGCGTTAAGAAGGAAG	2096–2115	1,088
SINV R5	ACTGGCAACCGGTAAGTACG	3164–3183	
SINV F6	GAGGACTGGGAAGCTGAACA	3030–3049	1,062
SINV R6	TCCRTCTCTGTACCCTCRT	4072–4091	
SINV F7	GRCCAGAKTGYGTCTCAAGCA	3959–3978	600
SINV R7	TCGATTGTTCTTCCACTT	4539–4558	
SINV F8	ATCAAGTCTGTCGCCATTCC	4401–4420	1,086
SINV R8	CATGGATACCCACCAAAAAG	5467–5486	
SINV F9	TTTAGCGGATCGGACAATTC	5238–5257	1,298
SINV R9	GCGGTGACGAACTCAGTAG	6517–6535	
SINV F10	CTGGAYTCAGCGACATTCAA	6423–6442	1,127
SINV R10	TTGCTCTGGGCAAAAGTTCT	7530–7549	
SINV F11	GCCCTGCTAGATGAAACGAA	7416–7435	1,171
SINV R11	TATCGTAGGCCTCGTGTTTC	8567–8586	
SINV F12	GGATAACTCAGTTCGGGTTG	8312–8331	768
SINV R12	TTACCGTGAACGGGAGGTAG	9060–9079	
SINV F13	AGCGTGACGGTTAGCATAGC	8964–8983	909
SINV R13	CAGCGAAGTTGGAATTACGG	9853–9872	
SINV F14	TACCATCGCCATCCTGTGTA	9714–9733	949
SINV R14	CTGGTTTCATCGTCCGTAT	10643–10662	
SINV F15	ACGGAGTTACACCAGGAACG	10516–10535	1,121
SINV R15	TATGCACCAYGCTTCCTCAG	11617–11636	

^aThe reference genome was from reference 17.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Virus strains. SINV strains for the present study are listed in Table 3 and were originally isolated from a number of sources, including mosquitoes, birds, and humans (e.g., see references 10, 20, and 45; J. O. Lundström, J. Hesson, M. Schafer, O. Ostman, T. Semmler, M. Weidmann, and M. Pfeffer, unpublished data). All strains sequenced in this study were propagated in Vero cells as described previously by Hesson et al. (45) or in suckling mice as described previously (46) and stored at -80°C .

RNA extraction and sequencing. Total RNA of the SINV-infected cell culture supernatant was extracted using the QIAamp viral RNA minikit (Qiagen, Hilden, Germany) according to the manufacturer's instructions. cDNA was synthesized using the RevertAid H minus first-strand cDNA synthesis kit (Thermo Scientific, Vilnius, Lithuania) in a 20- μl mixture containing 2 μl of random hexamers (10 pmol/ μl), 2 μl 10 M deoxynucleoside triphosphate (dNTP), and 5 μl RNA. The presence of SINV RNA was confirmed by quantitative PCR (qPCR) as described previously (41).

PCRs, using modified oligonucleotide primers that cover the complete genome of SINV (17) (Table 4), were performed using Phusion Flash (Thermo Scientific, Lithuania). PCR amplicons from the same strain were pooled and purified using the QIAquick PCR purification kit (Qiagen, Hilden, Germany). Illumina sequencing libraries were constructed using multiplex PCR products (1 ng of input DNA) and the Nextera XT DNA library prep kit (Illumina, San Diego, CA, USA) according to the manufacturer's instructions. Sequencing was performed on an Illumina MiSeq instrument using MiSeq reagent kit version 2 (Illumina, San Diego, CA, USA). Assembly of the sequence data was done using the CLC genome workbench, using the SINV Babanki strain (GenBank accession number [HM147984](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/nucl/147984)) as the reference sequence (47). Low-coverage regions were closed by conventional PCR, with primers designed according to known sequences in the flanking regions.

Phylogenetic analysis. Publicly available full-genome sequences of SINV were retrieved from the National Center for Biotechnology Information (NCBI) website (<https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/>). All sequences, 66 in total, were then aligned using MAFFT with default settings, followed by manual refinement using AliView (48, 49).

Several alignments were constructed based on (i) the complete open reading frames (ORFs), (ii) the single genes (nsP1 to -4, C, E1, E2, E3, and 6K/TF), (iii) complete and partial E2 sequences, and (iv) the complete ORFs excluding recombinant sequences. The best-fit evolutionary nucleotide substitution model following jModelTest analysis, GTR+F+I+G4 (general time-reversible model with empirical base frequencies, allowing for a proportion of invariable sites, and a discrete gamma model with default 4 rate categories), was used in all phylogenetic analyses (50). Potential recombination events were investigated using the Phi test in SPLITTS TREE 4.0 (51), Simplot version 3.5.1 (52), and RDP3 (53). Recombination events

TABLE 5 Model comparison by BEAST analysis^a

Molecular clock model	Demographic model	Mutation rate	95% HPD	Log marginal likelihood (SS)	Log marginal likelihood (PS)
Relaxed clock, exponential	Coalescent exponential population	1.045×10^{-4}	5.6508×10^{-5} , 1.6026×10^{-4}	-23,632.61	-23,632.33
Relaxed clock, log normal	Coalescent exponential population	1.5723×10^{-4}	6.6297×10^{-5} , 2.6341×10^{-4}	-23,632.24	-23,631.94
Relaxed clock, exponential	Coalescent Bayesian skyline	1.225×10^{-4}	6.8056×10^{-5} , 1.8561×10^{-4}	-23,622.27	-23,621.7
Relaxed clock, normal	Coalescent Bayesian skyline	1.257×10^{-4}	7.4641×10^{-5} , 1.8208×10^{-4}	-23,623.27	-23,623.38
Strict	Coalescent exponential population	5.4535×10^{-5}	4.3983×10^{-5} , 6.5605×10^{-5}	-23,757.07	-23,756.55
Strict	Coalescent Bayesian skyline	5.476×10^{-5}	4.2365×10^{-5} , 6.7008×10^{-5}	-23,746.58	-23,746.95

^a95% HPD, 95% highest posterior density; SS, stepping-stone sampling; PS, path sampling.

were determined using RDP, GENECONV, Bootscan, Maxchi, Chimera, SiScan, Phylpro, LARD, and 3Seq. In addition, alignment 4 was translated into amino acids, and amino acid positions were compared using AliView.

To reconstruct the evolutionary history of the SINVI complex, Bayesian phylogenetic trees of the complete ORFs and separated genes (nsP1 to -4, C, E3, E2, 6K/TF, and E1) of SINVI were constructed by employing MrBayes v.3.2.6 (54). Bayesian analysis consisted at least 5 million Bayesian Monte Carlo Markov chain (MCMC) generations sampling every 1,000 generations. The run was continued until convergence was obtained (average deviation, <0.01) and with a 25% burn-in. To further infer the evolutionary rates and divergence time of SINVI, we first performed a regression of root-to-tip genetic distances against date of sampling by using TempEst (55). The whole data set (64 sequences) showed no clocklike structure (correlation coefficient, -0.0904). Thus, only the sequences from clades A and B (43 sequences; correlation coefficient, 0.5128) were used for the evolutionary rate estimation. We employed six different combinations of demographic and molecular clock models (S2) and ran 50 million Bayesian MCMC generations sampling every 1,000 generations, implemented in BEAST version 2.3.1 (56) (Table 5). Model comparison performed by using a marginal-likelihood estimator in two approaches, path sampling (PS) and stepping-stone sampling (SS), selected strict clock and exponential population as a better model for data analysis, with the log Bayesian factor (BF) value over at least 25. In all analyses, strain ArB489 from central Africa, isolated in 1985 (GenBank accession number [MF409177](#)), was used to root the tree. All computations were run using the CIPRES computational cluster (<http://www.phylo.org/index.php/>). Finally, trees were viewed and edited using FigTree v1.4.2 (<http://tree.bio.ed.ac.uk/software/figtree/>).

A number of studies have previously used partial E2 sequences for creating SINVI phylogenies (10, 22, 57). To investigate whether phylogenies based on partial E2 sequences give the same results as the phylogenies based on the complete ORF, and to be able to compare more strains, we also constructed phylogenies using all strains sequenced in this study and all available partial E2 sequences from GenBank (170 in total [minimum, 313 nucleotides (nt); maximum, 2,200 nt]) (10).

Data availability. All newly sequenced strains have been deposited in GenBank under accession numbers [MK045224](#) to [MK045259](#).

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We thank Ge Mengyun for technical assistance.

This study was supported by the Swedish Research Council (2017-05807). J.C.H. was supported by the Swedish Society for Medical Research and E. and R. Börjeson's Foundation. J.H.-O.P. was supported by FORMAS grant 2015-710.

REFERENCES

- Engel D, Jöst H, Wink M, Borstler J, Bosch S, Garigliany MM, Jost A, Czajka C, Luhken R, Ziegler U, Groschup MH, Pfeffer M, Becker N, Cadar D, Schmidt-Chanasit J. 2016. Reconstruction of the evolutionary history and dispersal of Usutu virus, a neglected emerging arbovirus in Europe and Africa. *mBio* 7:e01938-15. <https://doi.org/10.1128/mBio.01938-15>.
- Go YY, Balasuriya UB, Lee CK. 2014. Zoonotic encephalitides caused by arboviruses: transmission and epidemiology of alphaviruses and flaviviruses. *Clin Exp Vaccine Res* 3:58-77. <https://doi.org/10.7774/cevr.2014.3.1.58>.
- Lundström JO. 1999. Mosquito-borne viruses in western Europe: a review. *J Vector Ecol* 24:1-39.
- Petersen LR, Brault AC, Nasci RS. 2013. West Nile virus: review of the literature. *JAMA* 310:308-315. <https://doi.org/10.1001/jama.2013.8042>.
- Tan Y, Lam TT-Y, Heberlein-Larson LA, Smole SC, Auguste AJ, Hennigan S, Halpin RA, Fedorova N, Puri V, Stockwell TB, Shilts MH, Andreadis T, Armstrong PM, Tesh RB, Weaver SC, Unnasch TR, Ciota AT, Kramer LD, Das SR. 2018. Large-scale complete-genome sequencing and phylodynamic analysis of Eastern equine encephalitis virus reveals source-sink transmission dynamics in the United States. *J Virol* 92:e00074-18. <https://doi.org/10.1128/JVI.00074-18>.
- Scheuch DE, Schäfer M, Eiden M, Heym EC, Ziegler U, Walther D, Schmidt-Chanasit J, Keller M, Groschup MH, Kampen H. 2018. Detection of Usutu, Sindbis, and Batai viruses in mosquitoes (Diptera: Culicidae) collected in Germany, 2011-2016. *Viruses* 10:E389. <https://doi.org/10.3390/v10070389>.
- Vogels CB, Göertz GP, Pijlman GP, Koenraadt CJ. 2017. Vector competence of European mosquitoes for West Nile virus. *Emerg Microbes Infect* 6:e96. <https://doi.org/10.1038/emi.2017.82>.
- Zeller H. 2012. Is Japanese encephalitis emerging in Europe? *Euro Surveill* 17(32):pii=20242. <https://www.eurosurveillance.org/content/10.2807/ese.17.32.20242-en>.
- Saiku P. 1984. Alphaviruses in Europe. Academy of Medical Sciences, Petrozavodsk, former Soviet Union.
- Lundström JO, Pfeffer M. 2010. Phylogeographic structure and evolutionary history of Sindbis virus. *Vector Borne Zoonotic Dis* 10:889-907. <https://doi.org/10.1089/vbz.2009.0069>.
- Taylor RM, Hurlbut HS, Work TH, Kingston JR, Frothingham TE. 1955.

- Sindbis virus: a newly recognized arthropod transmitted virus. *Am J Trop Med Hyg* 4:844–862. <https://doi.org/10.4269/ajtmh.1955.4.844>.
12. Gylfe Å, Ribers Å, Forsman O, Bucht G, Alenius GM, Wallberg-Jonsson S, Ahlm C, Evander M. 2018. Mosquitoborne Sindbis virus infection and long-term illness. *Emerg Infect Dis* 24:1141–1142. <https://doi.org/10.3201/eid2406.170892>.
 13. Skogh M, Espmark A. 1982. Ockelbo disease: epidemic arthritis-exanthema syndrome in Sweden caused by Sindbis-virus like agent. *Lancet* i:795–796.
 14. Espmark A, Niklasson B. 1984. Ockelbo disease in Sweden: epidemiological, clinical, and virological data from the 1982 outbreak. *Am J Trop Med Hyg* 33:1203–1211. <https://doi.org/10.4269/ajtmh.1984.33.1203>.
 15. Lundström JO, Vene S, Saluzzo JF, Niklasson B. 1993. Antigenic comparison of Ockelbo virus isolates from Sweden and Russia with Sindbis virus isolates from Europe, Africa, and Australia: further evidence for variation among alphaviruses. *Am J Trop Med Hyg* 49:531–537. <https://doi.org/10.4269/ajtmh.1993.49.531>.
 16. Bergqvist J, Forsman O, Lärsson P, Naslund J, Lilja T, Engdahl C, Lundström A, Gylfe Å, Ahlm C, Evander M, Bucht G. 2015. Detection and isolation of Sindbis virus from mosquitoes captured during an outbreak in Sweden, 2013. *Vector Borne Zoonotic Dis* 15:133–140. <https://doi.org/10.1089/vbz.2014.1717>.
 17. Sane J, Kurkela S, Putkuri N, Huhtamo E, Vaheri A, Vapalahti O. 2012. Complete coding sequence and molecular epidemiological analysis of Sindbis virus isolates from mosquitoes and humans, Finland. *J Gen Virol* 93:1984–1990. <https://doi.org/10.1099/vir.0.042853-0>.
 18. Storm N, Weyer J, Markotter W, Kemp A, Leman PA, Dermaux-Msimang V, Nel LH, Paweska JT. 2014. Human cases of Sindbis fever in South Africa, 2006–2010. *Epidemiol Infect* 142:234–238. <https://doi.org/10.1017/S0950268813000964>.
 19. Forrester NL, Wertheim JO, Dugan VG, Auguste AJ, Lin D, Adams AP, Chen R, Gorchakov R, Leal G, Estrada-Franco JG, Pandya J, Halpin RA, Hari K, Jain R, Stockwell TB, Das SR, Wentworth DE, Smith MD, Kosakovsky Pond SL, Weaver SC. 2017. Evolution and spread of Venezuelan equine encephalitis complex alphavirus in the Americas. *PLoS Negl Trop Dis* 11:e0005693. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pntd.0005693>.
 20. Francy DB, Jaenson TG, Lundström JO, Schildt EB, Espmark A, Henriksson B, Niklasson B. 1989. Ecologic studies of mosquitoes and birds as hosts of Ockelbo virus in Sweden and isolation of Inkoo and Batai viruses from mosquitoes. *Am J Trop Med Hyg* 41:355–363. <https://doi.org/10.4269/ajtmh.1989.41.355>.
 21. Lundström JO, Lundström KM, Olsen B, Dufva R, Krakower DS. 2001. Prevalence of Sindbis virus neutralizing antibodies among Swedish passerines indicates that thrushes are the main amplifying hosts. *J Med Entomol* 38:289–297. <https://doi.org/10.1603/0022-2585-38.2.289>.
 22. Norder H, Lundström JO, Kozuch O, Magnus LO. 1996. Genetic relatedness of Sindbis virus strains from Europe, Middle East, and Africa. *Virology* 222:440–445. <https://doi.org/10.1006/viro.1996.0441>.
 23. Randolph SE, Rogers DJ. 2010. The arrival, establishment and spread of exotic diseases: patterns and predictions. *Nat Rev Microbiol* 8:361–371. <https://doi.org/10.1038/nrmicro2336>.
 24. Charrel RN, Brault AC, Gallian P, Lemasson JJ, Murgue B, Murri S, Pastorino B, Zeller H, de Chesse R, de Micco P, de Lamballerie X. 2003. Evolutionary relationship between Old World West Nile virus strains. Evidence for viral gene flow between Africa, the Middle East, and Europe. *Virology* 315:381–388. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0042-6822\(03\)00536-1](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0042-6822(03)00536-1).
 25. Kurkela S, Ratti O, Huhtamo E, Uzcátegui NY, Nuorti JP, Laakkonen J, Manni T, Helle P, Vaheri A, Vapalahti O. 2008. Sindbis virus infection in resident birds, migratory birds, and humans, Finland. *Emerg Infect Dis* 14:41–47. <https://doi.org/10.3201/eid1401.070510>.
 26. Snow DW, Perrins C (ed). 1999. The birds of the western Palearctic, the concise edition, vol 2. Passerines. Oxford University Press, New York, NY.
 27. Hesson JC, Lundström JO, Tok A, Östman Ö, Lundkvist Å. 2016. Temporal variation in Sindbis virus antibody prevalence in bird hosts in an endemic area in Sweden. *PLoS One* 11:e0162005. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0162005>.
 28. Skogh M, Westermark SE. 1973. August exanthema with arthralgia. *Abstr Annu Meet Swedish Dermatol Soc*, Linköping, Sweden.
 29. Balducci M, Verani P, Lopes MC, Gregor B. 1967. Survey for antibodies against arthropod-borne viruses in man and animals in Italy. II. Serologic status of human beings in a northern Italian region (Gorizia province). *Am J Trop Med Hyg* 16:211–215. <https://doi.org/10.4269/ajtmh.1967.16.211>.
 30. Saikku P. 1969. Occurrence of group A hemagglutination inhibiting activity in Finnish serum material, 375–378. In *Arboviruses of the California Complex and the Bunyamwera Group: Proceedings of the Symposium Held at Smolenice Near Bratislava October 18–21, 1966*. Slovak Academy of Sciences, Bratislava, Czechoslovakia.
 31. Kunz C. 1963. Demonstration of hemagglutination-inhibiting arbovirus antibodies in the population of Austria. *Zentralbl Bakteriol Orig* 190:174–182. (In German.)
 32. Lundström JO, Vene S, Espmark A, Engvall M, Niklasson B. 1991. Geographical and temporal distribution of Ockelbo disease in Sweden. *Epidemiol Infect* 106:567–574. <https://doi.org/10.1017/S0950268800067637>.
 33. Brummer-Korvenkontio M, Vapalahti O, Kuusisto P, Saikku P, Manni T, Koskela P, Nygren T, Brummer-Korvenkontio H, Vaheri A. 2002. Epidemiology of Sindbis virus infections in Finland 1981–96: possible factors explaining a peculiar disease pattern. *Epidemiol Infect* 129:335–345. <https://doi.org/10.1017/S0950268802007409>.
 34. Sane J, Guedes S, Kurkela S, Lyytikäinen O, Vapalahti O. 2010. Epidemiological analysis of mosquito-borne Pogosta disease in Finland, 2009. *Euro Surveill* 15(2):pii=19462. <https://www.eurosurveillance.org/content/10.2807/ese.15.02.19462-en>.
 35. Hahn CS, Lustig S, Strauss EG, Strauss JH. 1988. Western equine encephalitis virus is a recombinant virus. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 85:5997–6001. <https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.85.16.5997>.
 36. Allison AB, Stallknecht DE, Holmes EC. 2015. Evolutionary genetics and vector adaptation of recombinant viruses of the Western equine encephalitis antigenic complex provides new insights into alphavirus diversity and host switching. *Virology* 474:154–162. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.virol.2014.10.024>.
 37. Davis NL, Fuller FJ, Dougherty WG, Olmsted RA, Johnston RE. 1986. A single nucleotide change in the E2 glycoprotein gene of Sindbis virus affects penetration rate in cell culture and virulence in neonatal mice. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 83:6771–6775. <https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.83.18.6771>.
 38. Zhu W, Deng L, Wei Y, Wang H, Wang J, Liang G. 2015. A substitution in nsP1 combined with a double substitution in E2 glycoprotein renders Sindbis-like virus XJ-160 fully neurovirulent for adult mice. *Virus Res* 196:1–4. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.virusres.2014.10.027>.
 39. Tsetsarkin KA, Vanlandingham DL, McGee CE, Higgs S. 2007. A single mutation in chikungunya virus affects vector specificity and epidemic potential. *PLoS Pathog* 3:e201. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.ppat.0030201>.
 40. Yuan L, Huang XY, Liu ZY, Zhang F, Zhu XL, Yu JY, Ji X, Xu YP, Li G, Li C, Wang HJ, Deng YQ, Wu M, Cheng ML, Ye Q, Xie DY, Li XF, Wang X, Shi W, Hu B, Shi PY, Xu Z, Qin CF. 2017. A single mutation in the prM protein of Zika virus contributes to fetal microcephaly. *Science* 358:933–936. <https://doi.org/10.1126/science.aam7120>.
 41. Jöst H, Bialonski A, Storch V, Günther S, Becker N, Schmidt-Chanasit J. 2010. Isolation and phylogenetic analysis of Sindbis viruses from mosquitoes in Germany. *J Clin Microbiol* 48:1900–1903. <https://doi.org/10.1128/JCM.00037-10>.
 42. Ahlm C, Eliasson M, Vapalahti O, Evander M. 2014. Seroprevalence of Sindbis virus and associated risk factors in northern Sweden. *Epidemiol Infect* 142:1559–1565. <https://doi.org/10.1017/S0950268813002239>.
 43. Hesson JC, Rettich F, Merdić E, Vignjević G, Ostman O, Schäfer M, Schaffner F, Foussadier R, Besnard G, Medlock J, Scholte EJ, Lundström JO. 2014. The arbovirus vector *Culex torrentium* is more prevalent than *Culex pipiens* in northern and central Europe. *Med Vet Entomol* 28:179–186. <https://doi.org/10.1111/mve.12024>.
 44. Lundström JO, Turell MJ, Niklasson B. 1990. Effect of environmental temperature on the vector competence of *Culex pipiens* and *Cx. torrentium* for Ockelbo virus. *Am J Trop Med Hyg* 43:534–542. <https://doi.org/10.4269/ajtmh.1990.43.534>.
 45. Hesson JC, Verner-Carlsson J, Larsson A, Ahmed R, Lundkvist Å, Lundström JO. 2015. *Culex torrentium* mosquito role as major enzootic vector defined by rate of Sindbis virus infection, Sweden, 2009. *Emerg Infect Dis* 21:875–878. <https://doi.org/10.3201/eid2105.141577>.
 46. Sem Ouilbona RC, Tchetsna Simo HD, Vicks O, Berthet N, Nakouné E. 2018. Full-length genome sequence of a Sindbis virus strain isolated from *Culex cinereus* in 1977 in Bozo, Central African Republic. *Genome Announc* 6(26):e00455-18. <https://doi.org/10.1128/genomeA.00455-18>.
 47. Forrester NL, Palacios G, Tesh RB, Savji N, Guzman H, Sherman M, Weaver SC, Lipkin WI. 2012. Genome-scale phylogeny of the alphavirus genus suggests a marine origin. *J Virol* 86:2729–2738. <https://doi.org/10.1128/JVI.05591-11>.

48. Katoh K, Standley DM. 2013. MAFFT multiple sequence alignment software version 7: improvements in performance and usability. *Mol Biol Evol* 30:772–780. <https://doi.org/10.1093/molbev/mst010>.
49. Larsson A. 2014. AliView: a fast and lightweight alignment viewer and editor for large datasets. *Bioinformatics* 30:3276–3278. <https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/btu531>.
50. Posada D. 2008. jModelTest: phylogenetic model averaging. *Mol Biol Evol* 25:1253–1256. <https://doi.org/10.1093/molbev/msn083>.
51. Huson DH, Bryant D. 2006. Application of phylogenetic networks in evolutionary studies. *Mol Biol Evol* 23:254–267. <https://doi.org/10.1093/molbev/msj030>.
52. Lole KS, Bollinger RC, Paranjape RS, Gadkari D, Kulkarni SS, Novak NG, Ingersoll R, Sheppard HW, Ray SC. 1999. Full-length human immunodeficiency virus type 1 genomes from subtype C-infected seroconverters in India, with evidence of intersubtype recombination. *J Virol* 73:152–160.
53. Martin DP, Lemey P, Lott M, Moulton V, Posada D, Lefevre P. 2010. RDP3: a flexible and fast computer program for analyzing recombination. *Bioinformatics* 26:2462–2463. <https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/btq467>.
54. Ronquist F, Huelsenbeck JP. 2003. MrBayes 3: Bayesian phylogenetic inference under mixed models. *Bioinformatics* 19:1572–1574. <https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/btg180>.
55. Rambaut A, Lam TT, Carvalho LM, Pybus OG. 2016. Exploring the temporal structure of heterochronous sequences using TempEst (formerly Path-O-Gen). *Virus Evol* 2:vew007. <https://doi.org/10.1093/ve/vew007>.
56. Drummond AJ, Suchard MA, Xie D, Rambaut A. 2012. Bayesian phylogenetics with BEAUti and the BEAST 1.7. *Mol Biol Evol* 29:1969–1973. <https://doi.org/10.1093/molbev/mss075>.
57. Adouchief S, Smura T, Sane J, Vapalahti O, Kurkela S. 2016. Sindbis virus as a human pathogen—epidemiology, clinical picture and pathogenesis. *Rev Med Virol* 26:221–241. <https://doi.org/10.1002/rmv.1876>.
58. Shirako Y, Niklasson B, Dalrymple JM, Strauss EG, Strauss JH. 1991. Structure of the Ockelbo virus genome and its relationship to other Sindbis viruses. *Virology* 182:753–764. [https://doi.org/10.1016/0042-6822\(91\)90616-J](https://doi.org/10.1016/0042-6822(91)90616-J).
59. Eiden M, Ziegler U, Keller M, Müller K, Granzow H, Jöst H, Schmidt-Chanasit J, Groschup MH. 2014. Isolation of Sindbis virus from a hooded crow in Germany. *Vector Borne Zoonotic Dis* 14:220–222. <https://doi.org/10.1089/vbz.2013.1354>.
60. Sigei F, Nindo F, Mukunzi S, Ng'ang'a Z, Sang R. 2018. Evolutionary analyses of Sindbis virus strains isolated from mosquitoes in Kenya. *Arch Virol* 163:2465–2469. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00705-018-3869-8>.
61. Kutchko KM, Madden EA, Morrison C, Plante KS, Sanders W, Vincent HA, Cruz Cisneros MC, Long KM, Moorman NJ, Heise MT, Laederach A. 2018. Structural divergence creates new functional features in alphavirus genomes. *Nucleic Acids Res* 46:3657–3670. <https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gky012>.
62. Simpson DA, Davis NL, Lin SC, Russell D, Johnston RE. 1996. Complete nucleotide sequence and full-length cDNA clone of S.A.AR86 a South African alphavirus related to Sindbis. *Virology* 222:464–469. <https://doi.org/10.1006/viro.1996.0445>.
63. Strauss EG, Rice CM, Strauss JH. 1984. Complete nucleotide sequence of the genomic RNA of Sindbis virus. *Virology* 133:92–110. [https://doi.org/10.1016/0042-6822\(84\)90428-8](https://doi.org/10.1016/0042-6822(84)90428-8).